

Complex conference matrices, complex Hadamard matrices and complex equiangular tight frames

Boumediene Et-Taoui
 Université de Haute Alsace – LMIA
 4 rue des frères Lumière 68093 Mulhouse Cedex

September 22, 2014

Abstract

In this article we construct new, previously unknown parametric families of complex conference matrices and of complex Hadamard matrices of square orders and related them to complex equiangular tight frames. It is shown that for any odd integer $k \geq 3$ such that $2k = p^\alpha + 1$, p prime, α non-negative integer, on the one hand there exists a $(2k, k)$ complex equiangular tight frame and for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exists a $((2k)^{2^\beta}, \frac{1}{2}(2k)^{2^{\beta-1}}((2k)^{2^{\beta-1}} \pm 1))$ complex equiangular tight frame depending on one unit complex number, and on the other hand there exist a family of $((4k)^{2^\beta}, \frac{1}{2}(4k)^{2^{\beta-1}}((4k)^{2^{\beta-1}} \pm 1))$ complex equiangular tight frames depending on two unit complex numbers.

AMS Classification. Primacy 42C15, 52C17; Secondary 05B20.

B.Ettaoui@uha.fr

1 Introduction

This article deals with the so-called notion of complex equiangular tight frames. Important for coding and quantum information theories are real and complex equiangular tight frames, for a survey we refer to [13]. In a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a subset $F = \{f_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is called a *frame* for $\mathcal{H}$ provided that there are two constants $C, D > 0$ such that

$$C \|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} |\langle x, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq D \|x\|^2,$$

holds for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$. If $C = D = 1$ then the frame is called *normalized tight* or a *Parseval frame*. Throughout this article we use the term (n, k) frame to refer to a Parseval frame of n vectors in $\mathbb{C}^k$ equipped with the usual inner product. The ratio $\frac{n}{k}$ is called the *redundancy* of the (n, k) frame. It is well-known that any Parseval frame induces an isometric embedding of $\mathbb{C}^k$ into $\mathbb{C}^n$ which maps $x \in \mathbb{C}^k$ to its frame coefficients $(Vx)_j = \langle x, f_j \rangle$, called the analysis operator of the frame. Because V is linear, we may identify V with an $n \times k$ matrix and the vectors $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ denote the columns of V^* , the Hermitean conjugate of V . It is shown in [13] that a (n, k) frame is determined up to a unitary equivalence by its Gram matrix VV^* , which is a self-adjoint projection of rank k . If in addition the frame is uniform and equiangular that is, $\|f_i\|^2$ and $|\langle f_i, f_j \rangle|$ are constants for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and for all $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, respectively, then follows

$$VV^* = \frac{k}{n} I_n + \sqrt{\frac{k(n-k)}{n^2(n-1)}} Q,$$

where Q is a self-adjoint matrix with diagonal entries all 0 and off-diagonal entries all of modulus 1, and I_n is the identity matrix of order n . The matrix Q is called the *Seidel matrix* or *signature matrix* associated with the (n, k) frame. The existence of an equiangular Parseval frame is known from [13] to be equivalent to the existence of a Seidel matrix with two eigenvalues. Of course the vectors of a (n, k) frame generate equiangular lines in $\mathbb{C}^k$. However equiangular n -tuples are not characterized by single matrices but by the classes of such matrices under the equivalence relation generated by the following operations.

1. Operation. multiplication by a unimodular complex number a of any row and by $\bar{a}$ of the corresponding column.
2. Operation. Interchange of rows and simultaneously of the corresponding columns.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate Seidel matrices of order $2k$ which have two distinct eigenvalues with equal multiplicity k . This class of matrices is a particular subclass of the class of the so-called complex conference matrices. A complex $n \times n$ *conference matrix* C_n is a matrix with $c_{ii} = 0$ and $|c_{ij}| = 1$, $i \neq j$ that satisfies

$$CC^* = (n - 1)I_n.$$

Real conference matrices have been heavily studied in the literature in connection with combinatorial designs in geometry, engineering, statistics, and algebra.

The following necessary conditions are known : $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n - 1 = a^2 + b^2$, a and b integers for symmetric matrices ([1], [18], [19], [16]), and $n = 2$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for skew symmetric matrices [23]. However the only conference matrices that have been constructed so far are symmetric matrices of order $n = p^\alpha + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, p prime, α non-negative integer ([18]) or $n = (q - 1)^2 + 1$, where q is the order of a conference symmetric or skew symmetric matrix ([12]) or $n = (q + 2)q^2 + 1$, where $q = 4t - 1 = p^\alpha$, p prime and $q + 3$ is the order of a conference symmetric matrix ([17]), or $n = 5 \cdot 9^{2\alpha+1} + 1$, α non-negative integer ([20]), and skew symmetric matrices of order $n = 2^s \prod_{i=1}^r (p_i^{\alpha_i} + 1)$, $p_i^{\alpha_i} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, p_i primes, s , r and α_i non-negative integers ([23]). In [6] the authors show that essentially there are no other real conference matrices. Precisely they prove that any real conference matrix of order $n > 2$ is equivalent, under multiplication of rows and columns by -1 , to a conference symmetric or to a skew symmetric matrix according as n satisfies $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. In addition we observe that n must be even. This is not the case for complex conference matrices. They were used in [7] to provide parametrization of complex Sylvester inverse orthogonal matrices. It is quoted in [7], and easy to show, that there is no complex conference matrix of order 3; however we can find such a matrix of order 5 [7]. Recently, the present author [9] constructed an infinite family of complex symmetric conference matrices of odd orders by use of finite fields and the Legendre symbol. These matrices solve the problem of finding the maximum number of pairwise isoclinic planes in Euclidean odd dimensional spaces. In this article, a new method to obtain a parametrization of complex conference matrices of even orders is given. Complex conference matrices are important because by construction the matrix

$$H_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} C_n + I_n & C_n^* - I_n \\ C_n - I_n & -C_n^* - I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

is a complex Hadamard matrix of order $2n$.

A matrix of order n with unimodular entries and satisfying $HH^* = nI_n$ is called *complex Hadamard*.

The aim of this article is to relate directly the three objects introduced one to each other and to derive a connection between complex conference matrices (*CCMs*), complex Hadamard matrices (*CHMs*) and

a class of complex equiangular tight frames (*CETFs*). The correspondance here developed turns out to be really fruitful : on the one hand we construct new, previously unknown parametric families of complex conference matrices and of complex Hadamard matrices of square orders, on the other hand we show amongst other that for any integer k such that $2k = p^\alpha + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, p prime, α non-negative integer, there is a family of $(2k, k)$ complex equiangular tight frames which depends on a complex number of modulus 1, and for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists a family of $((4k)^{2^\beta}, \frac{1}{2}(4k)^{2^{\beta-1}}((4k)^{2^{\beta-1}} \pm 1))$ complex equiangular tight frames which depends on two complex numbers of modulus one. For instance we have the existence of a family of $(2k, k)$ *CETFs* coming from fourth root Seidel matrices. This yields amongst other the existence of $(6, 3)$, $(10, 5)$, $(14, 7)$, $(18, 9)$ *CETFs* which have been found in [8]. First let us survey the present knowledge on $(2k, k)$ equiangular tight frames.

1. Any real conference symmetric matrix C of order $2k$ is a Seidel matrix with two eigenvalues and leads to a $(2k, k)$ *RETF*. In the same time the matrix iC is a complex symmetric conference matrix of order $2k$.
2. Any real conference skew symmetric matrix C of order $2k$ leads to a Seidel matrix (iC) with two eigenvalues and then to a $(2k, k)$ *CETF*. Note that the $2k$ vectors of this frame generate a set of equiangular lines called in [10] an *F-regular $2k$ -tuple* in $\mathbb{CP}^{k-1}$. This is a tuple in which all triples of lines are pairwise congruent. Related objects can also be found in [11]. See also A146890 in the On-line Encyclopedia of Integer sequences.
3. Zauner constructed in his thesis ([24]) $(q+1, (q+1)/2)$ *CETFs* for any odd prime power q . However it is shown in section 5 that the associated Seidel matrices of these frames are real symmetric conference matrices or the product by i of real skew symmetric conference matrices. That is Zauner's construction does not lead to new $(2k, k)$ *CETFs* in comparison with examples 1 and 2. In the same time it is interesting to see how Zauner obtained again the Paley matrices using an additive character on $GF(q)$ the Galois field of order q .
4. In [8] the authors studied the existence and construction of Seidel matrices with two eigenvalues whose off diagonal entries are all fourth roots of unity. Among other things they constructed using an algorithm some *CETFs* which do not arise from real skew symmetric matrices. Their associated Seidel matrices with fourth roots of unity can be obtained directly from our one parametric family of $(2k, k)$ when the parameter is equal to i . Their results are then here improved.

Of course example 2 is well-known but a continuous family of complex equiangular tight frames appears in this paper for the first time.

1.1 Seidel matrices and CHMs

Let H be a complex Hadamard matrix of order n and let us denote its rows by $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n$.

Consider the following block matrix, where the (i, j) th entry of K is the block $h_j^* h_i$,

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} h_1^* h_1 & \dots & h_n^* h_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ h_1^* h_n & \dots & h_n^* h_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

It is well known that K is an Hermitean complex Hadamard matrix of order n^2 with constant diagonal 1 [22]. Clearly we have the useful following Lemma.

Lemma 1 $Q := K - I$ is a Seidel matrix associated to a $(n^2, \frac{n(n+1)}{2})$ CETFs.

In [3] the authors applied this block constuction to the Fourier matrix $H = \left[e^{\frac{2\pi i(k-1)(l-1)}{n}} \right]_{k,l}$ of order n , from which they concluded that for any $n \geq 2$ there exists an equiangular $(n^2, \frac{n(n+1)}{2})$ frame. Butson constructed in [5] for any prime p , complex Hadamard matrices of order $2^a p^b$, $0 \leq a \leq b$, containing p th roots of unity. In [22] the block construction (1) applied to Butson matrices yields the existence of an equiangular $(4^a p^{2b}, 2^{a-1} p^b (2^a p^b + 1))$ frame. For instance there is a $(36, 21)$ CETF arising from Seidel matrices containing cube roots of unity.

2 Constructing complex conference matrices from real symmetric conference matrices

In the following we will restrict ourselves to real symmetric conference matrices called Paley matrices : those of orders $p^\alpha + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. It is shown in [12] that any Paley matrix of order $n = 2k = p^\alpha + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ is equivalent to a matrix of the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & j^T & j^T \\ 1 & 0 & -j^T & j^T \\ j & -j & A & B \\ j & j & B^T & -A \end{pmatrix},$$

where A and B are square matrices of order $k - 1$, j is the $(k - 1) \times 1$ matrix consisting solely of 1's. Because this form of C slightly differs from the form of [12] we give here the proof for completeness of this paper.

Let V be a vector space of dimension 2 over $GF(p^\alpha)$ the Galois field of order p^α , p prime, α non-negative integer. Let $\varkappa$ denote the Legendre symbol, defined by $\varkappa(0) = 0$, $\varkappa(a) = 1$ or -1 according as a is or not a square in $GF(p^\alpha)$. Then clearly $\varkappa(-1) = 1$. Now let x, y be two independent vectors in V , α_i being the elements of $GF(p^\alpha)$ and consider $\varkappa \det$ where $\det$ is any alternating bilinear form on V . The Paley matrix C is defined as follows : $C = [\varkappa \det(y_i, y_j)]_{i,j=0,\dots,p^\alpha}$ where $y_0 = x$, $y_j = y + \alpha_j x$ for all $j = 1, \dots, p^\alpha$. The result follows by taking $\varkappa \det(y, x) = 1$ and by arranging the vectors as follows : $x, y, y + x\eta, y + x\eta^3, \dots, y + x\eta^{p^\alpha-2}, y + x\eta^2, y + x\eta^4, \dots, y + x\eta^{p^\alpha-1}$ where η denotes any primitive element of $GF(p^\alpha)^*$.

The matrices A and B satisfy :

$${}^t A = A, AJ = J, BJ = JB = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$AB = BA, BB^T = B^T B, \quad (3)$$

$$A^2 + BB^T = (2k - 1)I - 2J, \quad (4)$$

and J is the matrix of order $k - 1$ which entries are 1's. These equations were not considered in [12] since their goal was different from ours.

Theorem 2 Let $k \geq 3$ be an odd integer such that $2k = p^\alpha + 1$. There exists an infinite family of complex conference matrices of order $2k$ depending on two complex parameters a and b of modulus 1.

Proof. Consider the matrix

$$C(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & j^T & j^T \\ 1 & 0 & -aj^T & aj^T \\ j & -aj & aA & abB \\ j & aj & a\bar{b}B^T & -aA \end{pmatrix}.$$

A direct calculation with equations (2), (3) and (4) leads to $C(a, b)C^*(a, b) = (2k - 1)I$. That is $C(a, b)$ is a complex conference matrix of order $2k$. ■

The matrix $C(a, b)$ of order 6 appears already in [7]. All the other cases are new in comparison with that paper.

Corollary 3 *Let $k \geq 3$ be an odd integer such that $2k = p^\alpha + 1$. There exists a parametric family of complex Hadamard matrices of order $4k$.*

Proof. The matrix $H(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} C(a, b) + I & C^*(a, b) - I \\ C(a, b) - I & -C^*(a, b) - I \end{pmatrix}$ yields the solution. ■

Theorem 4 *Let $k \geq 3$ be an odd integer such that $2k = p^\alpha + 1$. There exists an infinite family of Hermitean complex Hadamard matrices of order $16k^2$ depending on two complex parameters a and b of modulus 1 with a constant diagonal of 1's.*

Proof. It suffices to apply the block construction (1) to $H(a, b)$. ■

Applying Lemma 1 to $K(a, b)$ and repeating the same Lemma to the obtained matrix and repeating this operation indefinitely implies the following.

Theorem 5 *For any odd integer $k \geq 3$ such that $2k = p^\alpha + 1$ and for any $\beta \in \mathbf{N}^*$ there exists a $((4k)^{2^\beta}, \frac{(4k)^{2^{\beta-1}}((4k)^{2^{\beta-1}} \pm 1)}{2})$ CETF.*

$\pm$ correspond to the frame and its conjugate.

Interestingly, the redundancy of this frame is the general term of a sequence of β which converges to 2.

Theorem 6 *For any integer $k \geq 3$ such that $2k = p^\alpha + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ there exists a $(2k, k)$ CETF.*

Proof. $C(1, b)$ is a complex Hermitean conference matrix which leads to $(2k, k)$ CETFs. ■

As above repeating the construction (1) indefinitely yields the following.

Corollary 7 *For any integer $k \geq 3$ such that $2k = p^\alpha + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and for any $\beta \in \mathbf{N}^*$ there exists a $((2k)^{2^\beta}, \frac{(2k)^{2^{\beta-1}}((2k)^{2^{\beta-1}} \pm 1)}{2})$ CETF.*

Clearly we come up to the following proposition about the redundancy of these frames.

Proposition 8 *The redundancy of the $((2k)^{2^\beta}, \frac{(2k)^{2^{\beta-1}}((2k)^{2^{\beta-1}} \pm 1)}{2})$ CETF is the general term of a sequence of β which converges to 2.*

From Theorem 6 we deduce for instance the existence of $(6, 3), (10, 5), (14, 7), (18, 9), (26, 13), \dots$ CETFs. It turns out that for any odd integer k smaller than 50 we may construct a $(2k, k)$ CETF except possibly in the cases $k = 11, 17, 23, 29, 33, 35, 39, 43, 47$.

If we put $b = \pm i$ then $H = C(1, b) \pm iI$ is a *quaternary* complex Hadamard matrix of order $2k$, that is its entries are fourth roots of unity ([14]). The block construction (1) yields a quaternary Hadamard matrix of order $4k^2$.

3 Constructing complex Hermitean conference matrices from real symmetric conference matrices

The results of this section are a corollary of a theorem presented in [21], which provides parametrizations of complex Hadamard matrices.

Proposition 9 *If $D_n(t)$ is a parametric family of complex Hadamard matrices, coming from the "conference matrix construction" i.e. $D_n(t) = I + iC$ where C is a real symmetric conference matrix of order n , then $C(t) = -i(D_n(t) - I)$ is a family of complex Hermitean conference matrices.*

It appears that the construction presented in [21] and improved in [14] also works for complex Hermitean conference matrices. We may introduce more parameters. In the following we used that construction to obtain the families stemming from the real conference matrices C_6 , C_{10} and C_{14} which are unique up to equivalence. It appears that we may introduce one parameter in C_6 , two parameters in C_{10} and six parameters in C_{14} .

$$C_6(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & b & 1 & -b \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -b & 1 & b \\ 1 & \bar{b} & -\bar{b} & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -\bar{b} & \bar{b} & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To construct the matrix $C_6(b)$ we consider the pair of rows (2,3). If we choose another suitable pair of rows we do not obtain the same equivalence class, for instance if we choose the pair (2,4) and interchange the second and third rows and the corresponding columns we obtain the matrix $C_6(1, b)$ of Theorem 6 which is permutation equivalent to $C_6(\bar{b})$. Although $C_6(\bar{b})$ is the conjugate of $C_6(b)$ they are not permutation equivalent, except in cases $b \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$. This means that the two matrices lead to two non congruent (6,3) CETFs, whereas in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ the two 6-tuples of lines generated by the frames are congruent [4]. If we consider now two unimodular complex numbers $b, b' \notin \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ such that $b' \neq b$ and $b' \neq -b$ then the matrices $C_6(b)$ and $C_6(b')$ are non equal normal forms and they are not permutation equivalent. This means that we have an infinite family depending on one parameter of non equivalent complex Hermitean conference matrices of order 6, or equivalently we have an infinite family depending on one parameter of non congruent (6,3) CETFs.

$$C_{10}(a, b, c) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & a\bar{b} & a & \bar{c} & 1 & -\bar{c} & -a & -a\bar{b} & -1 \\ 1 & \bar{a}b & 0 & -b & -\bar{c} & 1 & \bar{c} & b & -1 & -\bar{a}b \\ 1 & \bar{a} & -\bar{b} & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \bar{b} & -\bar{a} \\ 1 & c & -c & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -c & c \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -c & c & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & c & -c \\ 1 & -\bar{a} & \bar{b} & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \\ 1 & -\bar{a}b & -1 & b & -\bar{c} & 1 & \bar{c} & -b & 0 & \bar{a}b \\ 1 & -1 & -a\bar{b} & -a & \bar{c} & 1 & -\bar{c} & a & a\bar{b} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in [21] the considered pairs of rows are (2,10), (3,9) and (5,7).

$$C_{14}(a, b, c, d, e, f) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & a\bar{b} & -a\bar{b} & -\bar{c} & a & \bar{e} & \bar{c} & 1 & -a & -\bar{e} & a & -a \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -a\bar{b} & a\bar{b} & -\bar{c} & -a & \bar{e} & \bar{c} & 1 & a & -\bar{e} & -a & a \\ 1 & \bar{a}b & -\bar{a}b & 0 & -1 & b & -b & -\bar{e} & b & 1 & \bar{f} & \bar{e} & -b & -\bar{f} \\ 1 & -\bar{a}b & \bar{a}b & -1 & 0 & -b & b & -\bar{e} & -b & 1 & \bar{f} & \bar{e} & b & -\bar{f} \\ 1 & -c & -c & \bar{b} & -\bar{b} & 0 & -c\bar{d} & c & -1 & -1 & 1 & c & c\bar{d} & 1 \\ 1 & \bar{a} & -\bar{a} & -\bar{b} & \bar{b} & -d\bar{c} & 0 & -d\bar{e} & d\bar{c} & -1 & -d\bar{f} & d\bar{e} & 1 & d\bar{f} \\ 1 & e & e & -e & -e & \bar{c} & -e\bar{d} & 0 & -\bar{c} & 1 & -\bar{f} & -1 & e\bar{d} & \bar{f} \\ 1 & c & c & \bar{b} & -\bar{b} & -1 & c\bar{d} & -c & 0 & -1 & 1 & -c & -c\bar{d} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -\bar{a} & \bar{a} & f & f & 1 & -f\bar{d} & -f & 1 & -1 & 0 & -f & f\bar{d} & -1 \\ 1 & -e & -e & e & e & \bar{c} & e\bar{d} & -1 & -\bar{c} & 1 & -\bar{f} & 0 & -e\bar{d} & \bar{f} \\ 1 & \bar{a} & -\bar{a} & -\bar{b} & \bar{b} & d\bar{c} & 1 & d\bar{e} & -d\bar{c} & -1 & d\bar{f} & -d\bar{e} & 0 & -d\bar{f} \\ 1 & -\bar{a} & \bar{a} & -f & -f & 1 & f\bar{d} & f & 1 & -1 & -1 & f & -f\bar{d} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The considered pairs of rows are : (2, 3), (4, 5), (6, 9), (7, 13), (8, 12) and (11, 14).

Now relating these matrices to frames we see for instance that we have (14, 7) *CETFs* depending on six unimodular complex numbers.

It would be interesting to see whether the hereby presented constructions can be applied to real conference matrices which are not of the Paley type. Our complex conference matrices can be used efficiently to obtain previously unknown real or complex Hadamard matrices and *CETFs*. These matrices can be also used as starting points to construct Sylvester inverse orthogonal matrices by the method developed in [7].

4 Zauner's construction

We show in the following that Seidel matrices associated to Zauner's frames are real symmetric conference matrices or the product by i of real skew symmetric conference matrices. First we recall the construction. For any odd prime power $q = p^m$ let $GF(q)$ be the Galois field of order q , $\varkappa$ be the legendre symbol which is a multiplicative character of $GF(q)^*$ and let ψ be the additive character defined by $\psi(a) = e^{2i\pi Tr(a)/p}$ where the Tr is the linear mapping from $GF(q)$ to F_p such that $Tr(a) = a^p + \dots + a^{p^m}$. Now let $a_1, \dots, a_q$ be the elements of $GF(q)$, $b_1, \dots, b_{(q-1)/2}$ be the non-zero squares and $b'_1, \dots, b'_{(q-1)/2}$ be the non-zero non squares. The following vectors are given in [24].

$$x_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

$$x_2 = (1/\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{2/q}\psi(b_1 a_1), \dots, \sqrt{2/q}\psi(b_{(q-1)/2} a_1), \dots,$$

$$x_{q+1} = (1/\sqrt{q}, \sqrt{2/q}\psi(b_1 a_q), \dots, \sqrt{2/q}\psi(b_{(q-1)/2} a_q)).$$

Based on a formula on additive characters the author showed in [24] that his vectors are unit and that the absolute value of any hermitean product $\langle x_k, x_l \rangle$ with $k \neq l$, is equal to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}$. In the following the hermitean products $\langle x_k, x_l \rangle$ with $k \neq l$ are computed. For any $2 \leq k < l \leq q+1$ the hermitean product $\langle x_k, x_l \rangle$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{q} \sum_{s=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \psi(b_s(a_k - a_l)).$$

On the one hand

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \psi(b_s(a_k - a_l)) + \sum_{s=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \psi(b'_s(a_k - a_l)) = \sum_{\alpha \in GF(q)} \psi(\alpha) - 1 = -1,$$

because $\sum_{\alpha \in GF(q)} \psi(\alpha) = 0$. On the other hand

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \psi(b_s(a_k - a_l)) - \sum_{s=1}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \psi(b'_s(a_k - a_l)) = \frac{1}{\chi(a_k - a_l)} \sum_{\alpha \in GF(q)} \chi(\alpha) \psi(\alpha).$$

However the last sum is a general Gauss sum which was computed in [2]. It turns out that this sum is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{m-1} \sqrt{q} \text{ if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ & -(-i)^m \sqrt{q} \text{ if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

From this follows clearly that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x_k, x_l \rangle = (-1)^{m-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \chi(a_k - a_l) \text{ if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and} \\ & \langle x_k, x_l \rangle = -(-i)^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \chi(a_k - a_l) \text{ if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

We see from the Gram matrices that with this construction we find again Paley matrices and no other complex conference matrices.

References

- [1] V. Belevitch, *Theory of 2n-terminal networks with applications to conference telephony*, Elect. Commun., **27** (1950), 231-244.
- [2] B.C. Berndt, R.J. Evans, *The determination of Gauss sums*, Bul. A.M.S., Vol. **5** (2) (1981), 107-129.
- [3] B.G. Bodmann, H.J. Elwood, *Complex equiangular Parseval frames and Seidel matrices containing pth roots of unity*, Proc. of the AMS, 138, **12** (2010), 4387-4404.
- [4] U. Brehm, B. Et-Taoui, *Congruence criteria for finite subsets of complex projective and complex hyperbolic spaces*, Manuscripta Math., **96** (1998), 81-95.
- [5] A.T. Butson, *Generalized Hadamard matrices*, Proc. of the AMS, **13** (1962), 894-898.
- [6] P. Delsarte, J.M. Goethals and J.J. Seidel, *Orthogonal matrices with zero diagonal II*, Can. J. Math. Vol. XXXIII **5** (1971), 816-832.
- [7] P. Dita, *Complex Hadamard matrices from Sylvester inverse orthogonal matrices*, Roum. J. Phys. Vol. **54**, N°5-6 (2009), 433-440.

- [8] D.M. Duncan, T.R. Hoffman, J.P. Solazzo, *Equiangular tight frames and four root Seidel matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **432** (2010), 2816-2823.
- [9] B. Et-Taoui, *Infinite family of equi-isoclinic planes in Euclidean odd dimensional spaces and of complex conference matrices of odd orders*, arxiv : 1409.4282 v1.
- [10] B. Et-Taoui, *Equiangular lines in C^r* , Indag. Math., N.S., **11** (2) (2000), 201–207.
- [11] B. Et-Taoui, *Equi-isoclinic planes in Euclidean spaces*, Indag. Math. N.S., **17** (2) (2006), 205–219.
- [12] J.M. Goethals and J.J. Seidel, *Orthogonal matrices with zero diagonal*, Can. J. Math., **19** (1967), 1001-1010.
- [13] R.B. Holmes, V.I. Paulsen, *Optimal frames for erasures*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **377** (2004), 31-51.
- [14] P.H.J. Lampio, F. Szöllösi, P. R. J. Ostergard, *The quaternary complex Hadamard matrices of orders 10, 12 and 14*, Discrete mathematics, **313** (2013), 189-206.
- [15] P.W.H. Lemmens, J.J. Seidel, *Equiangular lines*, J. Algebra **24** (1973), 494-512.
- [16] J.H. van Lint, J.J. Seidel, *Equilateral point sets in elliptic geometry*, Indag. Math. N.S., **28** (1966), 335-348.
- [17] R. Mathon, *Symmetric conference matrices of order $pq^2 + 1$* , Can. J. Math. **30** (1978), 321-331.
- [18] R.E.A.C. Paley, *On orthogonal matrices*, J. Math. Phys., **12** (1933), 311-320.
- [19] D. Raghavarao, *Some aspects of weighing designs*, Ann. Math. Statist. , **31** (1960), 878-884.
- [20] J. Seberry, A.L. Whiteman, *New Hadamard matrices and conference matrices obtained via Mathon's construction*, Graphs and Combinatorics, **4** (1988), 355-377.
- [21] F. Szöllösi, *Parametrizing complex Hadamard matrices*, European J. Comb., **29** (2008), 1219-1234.
- [22] F. Szöllösi, *Complex Hadamard matrices and Equiangular tight frames*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **438**, 4 (2013), 1962-1967.
- [23] J. Williamson, *Hadamard's determinant theorem and the sum of four squares*, Duke Math. J. **11** (1944), 65-81.
- [24] G. Zauner, *Quantum designs*, IJQI, Vol. 9, Issue 1 (2011), 445-507.